

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121C DIS 3 (67213), Fall Qtr 2014

Responses: 11/33 (33.33%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 2 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 2 4 - 5 times
 - 3 6 - 7 times
 - 1 8 times
 - 3 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 7 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 2 2 - 3 times
 - 1 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 5
 - 2 6
 - 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 5
 - 1 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 5
 - 0 6
 - 9 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121C DIS 3 (67213), Fall Qtr 2014

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 0 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 4 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121C DIS 3 (67213), Fall Qtr 2014

- Everyone knows that Jen Jones is one of the best TAs in Political Science. I couldn't have gotten through this boring class without her!
 - Great communication, enthusiasm and knowledge of the material.
 - Jennifer was probably one of the best TAs I have had at UCI. She is very interested and engaged in the course and is very knowledgeable about the material. She makes discussion sections enjoyable and does a lot to ensure that her students are learning and understanding what is going on. Her section website with notes and the use of message board are extremely helpful! She is a very understanding TA, I wish were TAs at UCI were like her!
 - She really tried to get students feedback but students, at times, were just non-responsive. She did work hard to try and spark debate and conversation in class but students made it hard for her to do so when they do even answer questions.
 - She was always available, helped in explaining concepts, and always tried her best!
 - she was very nice
 - The TA was very passionate and approachable, so it was great to get her insight on the material. The discussions were intriguing. Due to work and other commitments, I was unable to attend more sections. Wish I did.
 - 4 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I believe that all TAs should coordinate and have the same grading rubric. Otherwise, it is very unfair. My friend who was in the other section got a 91 on her midterm whereas I got a 71. I read over her midterm and it was very similar to the content on my midterm. The wide gap is a little unfair. But besides that you were great!
 - Keep be awesome! Thanks for a great quarter!
 - keep it up :)
 - more examples
 - No, I would not ask her out though, but she'd probably reject me, she's pretty hot.
 - Not at all, I just hope your future discussions are a little more responsive
 - 5 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121A DIS 5 (67145), Winter Qtr 2015

Responses: 12/28 (42.86%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 2 6 - 7 times
 - 2 8 times
 - 8 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 9 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 1 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 2 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 2 6
 - 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 3 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121A DIS 5 (67145), Winter Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 0 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 2 Very good
- 7 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 121A DIS 5 (67145), Winter Qtr 2015

- Assigning course readings and going over them during discussion.
 - encouraging discussion and effectiveness at making the readings more comprehensible.
 - Jen used students as resources to summarize reading which helped especially with dense topics. Holding students accountable really created a sense of fairness and I enjoyed being in her section.
 - simpleness
 - TA was very responsive to all questions and encouraged critical thinking about the readings assigned in class.
 - 7 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Have back up plans when someone doesn't show up or does not come prepared.
 - I feel that Jen Jones focuses a lot on participation, would like her to put more input on her take on readings/articles.
 - N/A
 - stay awesome
 - The reading summaries each week are really helpful
 - 7 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 10 (67040), Spring Qtr 2013

Responses: 15/27 (55.56%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 2 4 - 5 times
 - 4 6 - 7 times
 - 1 8 times
 - 8 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 9 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 3 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 2 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 1 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 5 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 10 (67040), Spring Qtr 2013

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 4 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 7 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 10 (67040), Spring Qtr 2013

- Jen was very helpful, clear and concise. She is passionate and enthusiastic about political science and has a lot of knowledge about American politics. Her discussions were helpful and she was able to clearly answer all of my questions and help with giving tips on how to do well on the midterms and final. Awesome TA!
 - listens to your points, encourages discussion, open, enthusiastic
 - She is an excellent communicator who gets her point across straight. She helped me improve my second midterm score from a D+ to a solid B by telling me what my weakness is from the exam. Her thought is organized, allows me to keep up with the information. She can easily relate students' ideas to the essence of lecture and tie it efficiently. I enjoy contributing my ideas and thoughts to the discussion due to this TA's leadership and great personality.
 - She is familiar with the course! Sparks questions to help students think. Comes to class prepared!
 - She was kind and encouraged people to think
 - She was very engaged in the conversations & encouraged us to participate
 - 9 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- bring up more challenging topics to discuss
 - None :D
 - Not really, she's the best TA I ever have so far.
 - Sometimes it was a little too much thinking
 - TALK more about what would be on the tests
 - Try to make slides to clearly explain what you're talking about.
 - 9 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67036), Winter Qtr 2013

Responses: 11/22 (50%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 1 8 times
 - 10 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 5 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 2 2 - 3 times
 - 1 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 2 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 2 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 3 6
 - 5 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 4 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67036), Winter Qtr 2013

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 4 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 4 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67036), Winter Qtr 2013

- I feel Jones has been a great discussion leader who interacts with the class and also helps enlighten many of the ideology behind American politics. Jones has been an essential tool in the political science class and I feel one of her strongest points is being able to challenge the students by allowing them to take the knowledge they learn into the real world.
 - I like how she catered to the discussion of the students and adapted for each discussion. I also appreciated how she helped prepare us for our midterm.
 - Organized, stimulated the students' interest in the subject.
 - Separating into groups.
 - Took time to help us answer questions. Helped clarify confusing concepts from the lectures and readings.
 - very clear. helps students understand the material by breaking the material down so the class can easily understand. gives students a chance to participate and give input. very knowledgeable about the course material. relates with the students.
 - 5 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I feel up to this point, Jones has been doing an excellent job in teaching the class! I feel she should get a raise or something for doing such a good job.
 - I know she asked if we had any questions about the readings/lecture, but I would have liked her to summarize both a little each week (because it would be good review for us and remind us what we struggled with).
 - maybe talk more about what is relevant to the midterm/finals from the readings rather than about what the students can talk more about, because that isn't as helpful sometimes, as the students do not always read the material
 - More thorough discussion
 - 7 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 5 (67025), Fall Qtr 2012

Responses: 16/27 (59.26%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 2 6 - 7 times
 - 2 8 times
 - 12 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 12 Never
 - 4 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 1 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 1 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 1 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 5 6
 - 9 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 5 (67025), Fall Qtr 2012

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 5 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 1 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 5 (67025), Fall Qtr 2012

- Explaining the important points in lecture and clearing describing what would be relevant to study for the midterms and final.
 - Her strongest points dealt with connecting all the class materials with all the topics throughout the weeks.
 - I really liked class discussions. It seemed like we were the most active when we all bounced points off each other. I also thought getting into small groups was helpful, if we didn't take too long and came back together quickly.
 - Jen is a very good and well-qualified TA that did a fantastic job in the classroom, integrating both Prof. Beckmann's lectures and reading homework into the class. She was very good at leading the classroom discussion and did her best to make sure that everyone was on the same page as far as the material went.
 - She had clear explanations
 - She had hands on experience
 - She knew what she was talking about, and she tried to have us engaged in class.
 - She made me listen in class and made the material interesting.
 - The strongest points were Jen's valuable insight as to how Beckmann grades and what he tests students on. This prepared us well for exams and projects.
 - Very clear and tries to get everyone to understand the material, wants all students to interact and participate
 - Very knowledgeable on the subject. Communicates well.
 - 5 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I thought there was a good range of different activities. I think leading more discussions would be a really good idea!
 - Maybe go into depth on more topics instead of a selective few.
 - n/a
 - no keep doing what youre doing
 - None
 - None, Jen is great!
 - None.
 - None I can think of other than maybe having regular reading quizzes to make sure that everyone did the homework so they don't do poorly on the tests?
 - provide handouts.
 - 7 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67026), Fall Qtr 2012

Responses: 13/27 (48.15%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 2 8 times
 - 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 11 Never
 - 2 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 1 6
 - 10 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 1 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67026), Fall Qtr 2012

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 0 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 2 Very good
- 8 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Jones, Jennifer Jean POL SCI 21A DIS 6 (67026), Fall Qtr 2012

- clear & she knew what she was teaching about
 - Jen came prepared to all of the sections and made the material interesting and engaging.
 - Jen was always willing to get work done. Jen came to class ready to teach her class, and as a result our class really learned a lot.
 - One attempt is performing group discussions on important concepts and information essential to lecture understanding. This TA did use many outside examples to further discuss understanding of the material.
 - She is very good at engaging the students in the discussions and other class related material.
 - She was able to connect the material in lecture with the material in discussion and clear up any questions we had. She elaborated on what was presented and gave more feedback on the material. She was excellent in explaining everything.
 - She was very approachable, and also knew her stuff. Would always come prepared and talked a lot about what is happening during lectures, which refresh our understanding of the course material.
 - She would go over the concept with us and allow us to take in the information and make us understand it.
 - TA knew all the material and was very passionate about the subject. Seems very easygoing and easy to talk to.
 - Very helpful and assigned work that allowed students to get a better understanding of the lectures.
 - 3 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Continuing being the great TA that she already is.
 - Maybe to go over more core topics into one discussion rather than just 1 topic for 50 minutes, which can be more helpful.
 - No, she is good at everything.
 - none
 - Nope
 - Not everyone is interactive with the class, but the discussion would become engaging if there are important issues relevant to the lecture material that people would care to discuss.
 - Not much. Just keep doing what she is doing.
 - 6 blank answer(s).